Question put:—that the grant may be passed.
Motion carried.

2. II. Agriculture and Panchayats—Rs. 600.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—Sir, I beg to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 600 under II-C—Village Panchayats—Irrigation repairs—for reconstructing the sluice of the Valoo Kootala Chira in Kallur-Thekkummuri Panchayat.

This chira is a minor irrigation work. Its sluice was damaged during the last rainy season.

There is no provision for the work in the current year's budget nor is there any scope for any transfer of allotment.

I request that the Council may be pleased to sanction the allotment applied for.

Motion No. 1.

Mr. K. Ayyappan :—*To reduce the allotment of Rs. 600 for II—Agriculture and Panchayats by Re. 1.*

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. അയ്യപ്പൻ.—ഇപ്പോൾ പഞ്ചായത്തു റെഗുലേഷൻ അനുസരിച്ചു പുതിയ ചട്ടങ്ങൾ പരസ്യം ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. പുതിയ വോട്ടർപട്ടിക ഉണ്ടാക്കുന്നതു് കീഴ്നടപ്പിനെ പരിഷ്കരിക്കാനാണു. പുതിയ പഞ്ചായത്തുചട്ടങ്ങളാകട്ടെ രാജ്യത്തെ പുറകോട്ടു കൊണ്ടുപോകാൻ നോക്കുന്നു. എല്ലാ സ്ഥലത്തും യൂണിവേർസൽ ഫ്രാൻചയിസ് വാദം നടക്കുന്നു. യൂണിവേർസൽ ഫ്രാൻചയിസ് ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നതു് എടുത്തുകളയാനാണു് ഇവിടെ ശ്രമം. ആദ്യകാലത്തു് എഴുത്തറിയാവുന്ന എല്ലാവർക്കും പഞ്ചായത്തിൽ വോട്ടവകാശം ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നു. ഇപ്പോഴാകട്ടെ ഒരു രൂപാ കരം തീരുവ ഉള്ളവർക്കേ വോട്ടവകാശം പാടുള്ളുവെന്നു ചട്ടമുണ്ടാകുന്നു. ഈ ചട്ടത്തെ നിയമസഭ ശക്തിയായി എതിർക്കേണ്ടതാണു്. ഇതുമൂലം വളരെ ജനങ്ങൾക്കു വോട്ടു് ഇല്ലാതെയാകും. കൊച്ചിരാജ്യം പിറകോട്ടു പോകുന്നുവെന്നുള്ള ഒരു വലിയ അപമാനം വന്നു ചേരും. പഞ്ചായത്തുചട്ടപ്രകാരം സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥികളായി നില്ക്കുന്നവർക്കു് ഒരു രൂപായുടെ കരംഒടുക്കുയോഗ്യത ഉണ്ടായിരിക്കണം. ഒരു രൂപാ കരം ഒടുക്കുയോഗ്യത ഉള്ളവരുടെ ഇടയിൽ നിന്നു തന്നെ പഞ്ചായത്തു മെമ്പന്മാരെ തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കണമെന്നു വെച്ചിരിക്കുന്നതു കൊണ്ടു് ഓരോ ദേശത്തും പഞ്ചായത്തു ഭരണത്തിനു് ഏറ്റവും യോഗ്യന്മാരായവരെ കിട്ടുവാൻ ഇടവരുന്നില്ല. അതുകൊണ്ടു് നിയമസഭയ്ക്കുള്ളതു പോലെ എങ്കിലും കരം ഒടുക്കുള്ളവർക്കു പഞ്ചായത്തിലും സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥികളായി നില്ക്കാമെന്നു വയ്ക്കണം. സ്ത്രീകൾക്കു പഞ്ചായത്തിൽ സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥിയായി നില്ക്കാൻ പാടില്ലെന്നാണു് ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ ചട്ടം. ഈ മൂന്നു ചട്ടങ്ങളും മാറ്റി പരിഷ്കൃത രീതിക്കു യോജിച്ച വിധത്തിൽ നിയമം ഉണ്ടാക്കണം. വോട്ടവകാശമുള്ള എല്ലാവർക്കും സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥിയായി നില്ക്കാൻ അവകാശമുണ്ടായിരിക്കേണമെന്നാണു് എന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം. അങ്ങിനെ എല്ലാവർക്കും കൊടുക്കാൻ തീരെ നിവൃത്തിയില്ലെന്നു കാണുകയാണെങ്കിൽ അഞ്ചു രൂപാകരം ഒടുക്കുള്ളവർക്കെങ്കിലും സ്ഥാനാർത്ഥിയാകാം എന്നു വയ്ക്കേണ്ടതാണു്. ഈ സംഗതി ഗവർമ്മെണ്ടിന്റെ നോട്ടീസ്സിൽ കൊണ്ടുവരാനായിട്ടാണു് ഞാൻഈ കട്മോഷൻ അയച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതു്.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—I support that proposition. It is essential that the franchise should be lowered. The art of self-government begins with the Panchayat and it is the village unit where every adult should be given a vote, and there is no reason

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

why the qualification to be a member in the Panchayat should be so high as the one that is now intended to be framed namely, the payment of Rs. 25 tax. That is a very high qualification; and women also should be given the same rights as men. We men have been accused as keeping women down and it is the Cochin State that gave rights to women without their agitating for it, and I do not see why women should be disqualified to be Panchayat Members, if they are fit to be members of the Legislative Council. Therefore, it is a proposition which the Government will accept in full. After all the old Village Panchayat, if it is to be revived, it must have the support of all the members of the village and if it is to be made only as a plutocracy of the village, the whole scheme of self-government will fall to the ground. And therefore we hope that the Government will seriously consider the question and give a universal franchise at least to the literate people. I support all the three propositions brought forward by the Honourable Member.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The draft rules have been published for public criticisms and a number of criticisms have been received. But they have not been studied and analysed. I think these three points have been included in those criticisms and therefore along with the rest these also will be considered.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—We want to know whether the Government will accept these propositions.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There have been no time to prepare final conclusions. These points will be seriously considered.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—We have placed three propositions. I want to know whether the Government will accept them. Otherwise we shall try to give a mandate by a vote of the House.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The proper time will come for the House to make a decision in the matter. After the Government have decided, the House may, if necessary, take further action.

Mr. Thomas Manjuran.—I should like to point out that it is a wrong method. We do not want the Government to commit itself to some set of rules and then criticise those rules and try to get those rules amended. Although it is a token motion intended only to help the Government and not for criticism at all, I would at the same time ask a question, whether there is any special reason for making a distinction between the electorate and the qualification of Members. I am aware of cases where a distinction is made. To me it appears, it is rather a peculiar procedure that a voter should pay one rupee tax and

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

the member should pay Rs. 25 tax. I should like to be enlightened on the point.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—A number of Panchayats have passed resolutions that the voters should have some such qualification, because they find it difficult to control the election. For the purpose of voting there ought to be some principle. The Panchayats should know who all are voting and so on. They have proposed that voters should have some qualification. Government have come to no definite conclusion on the subject. Draft Rules have been published for public criticism. A good many of the Panchayats have suggested Rs. 10 as the qualification and some Rs. 5. As regards qualification for women to get into Panchayats, the rules, as at present stand object, to women to get into the Panchayats. If any changes are required I think it would be better to bring in a resolution here.

Dr. A. G. Menon.—Do you publish any Electoral Rolls?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—At present it is very difficult to get a complete list of people who can read and write. All those who can read and write are allowed to vote. But if there is a tax qualification we can prepare a roll easily.

Mr. Thomas Manjuran.—I am sorry that I have not got an answer to my question, why a distinction is being kept up between the qualification of electors and Members. However, let me say another word.

There is nothing extraordinary in the Panchayatdars objecting to the enlargement of the electorate, because they want to have a hold on what they have. So their opinion will not hold good in this matter. Persons paying Rs. 25 tax are very limited in number and they certainly want to stick to what they have got. We cannot proceed on the basis of their opinion, when the question is whether franchise should be extended or not. What this House is trying to impress upon the Government is that Panchayat matters are not pocket matters in the pockets of the wealthier classes. Panchayats may carry, if properly practised, very great powers; they may have even the power of taxation. If the Panchayats hitherto have not had sufficient powers, it certainly cannot be said to be due to the negligence or want of interest of the poor voters. If we simply vote for rich men, those rich men would say they want no change, no difference for the voters' qualification and also the members' qualifications. My submission is there is no justification for such a difference in qualification. Even assuming that there is any justification for such a difference in qualification for membership, the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

same should be brought to a minimum. Now the result is that hardly any popular man can be elected. It is to obviate this that nominations are made. As a matter of fact these Panchayats are not run on a popular basis. These Panchayats are expected to represent people. Let the voters have a chance of becoming members. Otherwise it will be a sign of oligarchical privilege to be exploited by some. Now the Government have separated the Panchayats from the Agricultural Department and there is no reason why full encouragement should not be given to Panchayats which ought to teach people the art of self-government.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—May I know whether these final rules will be laid on the table of this House, before they are finally passed?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There has been no such instance. I do not know what exactly the procedure will be.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—After the criticisms are received they may be placed before the Council. In the olden days Government themselves used to make rules under regulations. Now we have got the Legislative Council functioning and the function of the legislature will not be fully fulfilled unless the rules framed are placed before it and examined by the legislature. I think it will be the right practice. The final rules may be laid on the table of the House before they are promulgated.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There will not be any material objection to do that. If I remember right, in connection with the Special Finance Committee Report, the procedure adopted was for the Members to send in resolutions, when on the basis of the discussions conclusions were arrived at. Similarly some such procedure may perhaps be adopted this time also. But I am not quite sure of the point. Anyhow that is the only difficulty which I could anticipate.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—A word, Sir. Are not many of these Panchayats invested with judicial powers?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Some are.

Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—With regard to the procedure that was adopted in connection with the Special Finance Committee Report, the Report was submitted to the criticism of the House as such. It was only after the procedure was settled that resolutions were sent up. In fact the rules form part of the law. In other words it is a part of the legislation and unless the Legislative Council has some part to play with regard to the legislation its name becomes a misnomer.

I strongly support the points raised under the cut motion. In fact there is no reason assigned whatever for restricting the right of vote to members who pay taxes only. Much less is

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

any reason assigned that only members with certain higher qualifications can only be members in the Panchayats. The only reason, if it is a reason at all, advanced by the Superintendent of Agriculture and Panchayats is that a strong body of the Panchayatdars themselves are against that, and that such restrictions should be placed. My answer to that is, if that is the opinion of Panchayatdars, I can only say that the training is such that they do not come up to the ideal that was thought of when the institution was inaugurated. If there is to be any principle that ought to have been emphasised by the Panchayatdars it was the principle of universal representation and their opinion that it should not be so is a suicidal policy. Therefore, if the Government will not assure the House that no rule should be finally passed without the vote of the Council, we shall have to press the motion.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—I want to say one word. So far as universal franchise with reference to electorate is concerned, I perfectly agree. But since we see that most of these Panchayats are exercising judicial functions as well, and since, as it stands at present, there is only the tax qualification, which is Rs. 25, if it is to be reduced, I would certainly suggest that there should be another restriction with regard to educational qualifications, so far as the membership is concerned. So far as the members are concerned, in the case of Panchayats invested with judicial administration as well, there ought to be some such restriction. Otherwise, the administration of justice that may be entrusted to it, will be absolutely unsatisfactory, and the Munsiffs' Courts will be flooded with revision petitions. So far as these revision petitions are concerned, the Courts do not interfere on pure questions of fact, unless there is some illegality or irregularity in procedure. Civil Courts do not interfere in such matters. So far as membership is concerned, in cases where the Government is investing the Panchayats with judicial administration as well, educational qualification also will have to be considered as a condition precedent to membership of these Panchayats. That is all my suggestion. With regard to universal franchise, I have absolutely no objection. So far as the other matter is concerned Government should consider that aspect also.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—As is anticipated, there are several difficulties in the matter, and to say an abrupt "yes" or "no" to the points raised by the Hon'ble Member for South Ezhuvas is very difficult. As regards the procedure to place the report on the table the same cannot be done without some provision in our Rules or Standing Orders. In the Budget

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Session, when the grant is moved, all these matters can be raised and questions can be put. It will be better for the Members to devise some such course. Therefore, I would suggest that this is not the opportune time to put the matter to vote. Rather it would be better to see what the orders of the Government are and then if it does not agree with the wishes of the House, to put the point of difference to a definite vote.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. അയ്യപ്പൻ.—ഗവമ്മെണ്ടിന്റെഉത്തരം മൂലമാണ് ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം വോട്ടിടാൻ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടത്. പഞ്ചായത്തുകളിൽ നിന്ന് വോട്ടർമാരുടെ കരമൊടുക്കു യോഗ്യത കുട്ടണമെന്ന എഴുതിയിരിക്കുന്ന എന്ന ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു പറയുന്നു. അതുകൊണ്ടു തന്നെയാണ് കരമൊടുക്കു യോഗ്യത വെക്കരുതെന്നു ഞാൻ പറയുന്നത്. പല കരമൊടുക്കുള്ളവരായ ചിലർ കൂടി പഞ്ചായത്തുകളെല്ലാം കരസ്ഥമാക്കി വെച്ചിരിക്കയാണ്. ധാരാളം ആൾക്കും പഞ്ചായത്തുകളിൽ കടക്കാൻ ഇടവരരുതെന്നു കരുതിയാണ് അവർ വോട്ടർമാരുടെ കരമൊടുക്കുയോഗ്യത കുട്ടാൻ സ്തുപാർശ്ശചെയ്തിരിക്കുന്നത്. ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാരും പഞ്ചായത്തു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടും തമ്മിൽ വലിയ ലോഗ്യത്തിലാണു കഴിയുന്നത്. പഞ്ചായത്തു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിൽനിന്നു പറയുന്നതു ശരിവെക്കാനല്ലാതെ അതിനെപ്പറ്റി ചോദ്യം ചെയ്യാൻ ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാർക്കു സാധിക്കയില്ല. അതുയും ത്രാണിയുള്ളവരെ ഇപ്പോൾ പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാരായി പ്രായേണ വരുന്നുള്ളു. ചുണയും സാമർത്ഥ്യവും ഉള്ളവർ പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാരായി വരണമെങ്കിൽ പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാർക്കു പല കരമൊടുക്കു യോഗ്യത വേണമെന്നുള്ള ചട്ടം മാറണം. പഞ്ചായത്തുകൾക്കു ഒരു കരയെയുള്ള സിവിൽ കേസ്സുകൾ വിസ്തരിച്ചു വിധിക്കാനുള്ള അധികാരം കൂടി കൊടുക്കുന്നതുകൊണ്ട് പഞ്ചായത്തുദാരന്മാരുടെകരമൊടുക്കു യോഗ്യത കുറക്കുന്നതു അപകടമായിരിക്കുമെന്നു ചിലർപറയുന്നു. അതു ദരിദ്രനിന്ദയാണ്. നേരും നീതിയും പാവങ്ങളുടെ ഇടയിലാണ് വാസ്തവത്തിൽ അധികം.

നെഹറു റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ പ്രായപൂർത്തിയുള്ള എല്ലാവർക്കും വോട്ടു കൊടുക്കണമെന്നാണ് സ്തുപാർശ്ശചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതു. ഇന്ത്യാ സാമ്രാജ്യം ഭരിക്കാൻ യൂണിവേഴ്സൽ ഫ്രാഞ്ചൈസ് അനുസരിച്ചു തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കപ്പെടുന്നവരാകാമെങ്കിൽ പഞ്ചായത്തുകൾ ഭരിക്കാൻ അങ്ങിനെയുള്ളവരായിക്കുടന്ന പറയുന്നതു ശുദ്ധമേ അസംബന്ധമാണ്. ന്യൂനസമുദായങ്ങൾക്ക് പ്രാതിനിധ്യത്തിനു വഴിയെന്തെന്നുള്ള ചോദ്യത്തിനു സമാധാനമായിട്ടാണ് നെഹറു റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ യൂണിവെഴ്സൽ ഫ്രാഞ്ചൈസ് വെച്ചതത്രേ. നെഹറു റിപ്പോർട്ടിനെ വാഴ്ത്തുന്നവർതന്നെ ഇവിടെ പഞ്ചായത്തുചട്ടങ്ങളിൽ യൂണിവേഴ്സൽ ഫ്രാഞ്ചൈസ് പാടില്ലെന്നു വാദിക്കുന്നതു കഷ്ടമാണ്. അതിനു അർത്ഥം, അകമെന്നു, പുറമറെന്നു, പ്രസംഗം നേരു, പ്രവൃത്തി മറെറന്നു എന്നാണ്.

ഈ നിശ്ചയം ഇപ്പോൾ അല്ലാ നിയമസഭയിൽ കൊണ്ടുവരേണ്ടതു. ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു പഞ്ചായത്തു ചട്ടങ്ങളെ പററിയുള്ള ആക്ഷേപങ്ങളെ പററി ചിന്തിച്ചുതീരുമാനം ചെയ്യുശേഷംമതി എന്നു ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു പറയുന്നു. അതു ശരിയല്ല. ഇപ്പോഴാണ് ഈ കാര്യത്തിൽ നിയമസഭയുടെ അഭിപ്രായം ഗവമ്മെണ്ടിനെ അറിയിക്കേണ്ടതു. ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു നിയമസഭയുടെ അഭിപ്രായവും കൂടി അറിഞ്ഞു ചട്ടങ്ങൾ പാസ്സാക്കട്ടെ.

Motion put and carried, Government remaining neutral.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Motion No. 2

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—*To reduce the allotment of Rs. 600 for II-Agriculture and Panchayats by Re. 1.*

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—I have sent this motion to request the Government that notes explaining the necessity of the various Supplementary Grants, that are moved in this Council, may be circulated among the Members, with a view to enable them to see, before they come to the Council, what it is they have to pass. I understand, among the Members of the Standing Finance Committee such a thing is circulated. My object is that the same thing may be circulated among the Members as well.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I don't think there is any objection. The notes of the Standing Finance Committee may be circulated among the other Members as well.

Motion No. 3.

Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi being absent, the following motion was considered withdrawn.

"*To reduce the allotment of Rs. 600 for II-Agriculture and Panchayats by Re. 1.*"

Motion No. 4.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—"*To reduce the allotment of Rs. 600 under II-Agriculture and Panchayats by Re. 1.*

Mr. A. B. Salem.—I have asked for certain information on the back of my cut motion, as to when this sluice was built, what lands are served by this, what is the acreage, whether the sluice is built of stone, wood or concrete, when did the first report come to notice, and to which group this Panchayat belongs —Kallur-Thekkummuri Panchayat.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—It was handed over in 1098.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—The sluice was built 8 years ago.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—Must have been built long long ago. Rs. 310 is spent for its maintenance. Last year the surplus sluice went out of order and it is to be reconstructed. The chira maintains 150 acres of Mundakan crop, lower down the channel, and during the hot weather, the area that is occupied by storing the water will be utilised for raising puncha crop.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—By the last rainy season do you mean Thulam?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—South-west monsoon.

The motion was withdrawn with leave of the House.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Motion No. 5.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar. —*To reduce the allotment of Rs. 600 for 11-Agriculture and Panchayats by Re. 1.*

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Sir, I move that the demand of Rs. 600 be reduced by Re. 1.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Sir, my object in tabling this motion is to bring to the notice of the Government the desirability of holding Agricultural and Industrial Exhibitions every year in the State. I think that it was during the Diwanship of Sir A. R. Banerji that our State started the holding of Agricultural Exhibitions. We had a series of exhibitions which were subsequently stopped. They were again re-started during the Diwanship of Mr. Narayana Ayyar and we were having them for the last three years. This year, I don't find any provision for it in the budget. I do not know why? I remember having heard that it is the policy of the Government to hold exhibitions every year by turns in different taluks. I do not know why they changed it. I attended the last three exhibitions and I can speak with personal knowledge that they were well appreciated by the public. If I remember right, the average attendance per day was four to five thousand. This in itself is sufficient guarantee of the popularity and usefulness of this institution. These exhibitions have given a good impetus to various industries and to the adoption of improved methods of agriculture. A large volume of useful information has been dessiminated through these annual demonstrations at a comparatively very little cost. The expenses of holding these exhibitions were only two to two thousand five hundred rupees. It is a pity that the Government gave up holding these exhibitions. I therefore, request the Government to start it again from the next year.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—The question will be considered during the Budget Session. It is too late to hold any Exhibition this year.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—I want to know whether it is a deliberate giving up of that policy or only an omission.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—During the last Budget Session, everyone of you would remember, there was hardly any discussion on the budget of the Agricultural Department. This year we might consider the question of holding Exhibitions next year.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Sir, the Government position is not quite clear to me. The very fact that I or any other Member did not raise it in the budget session does not show

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

that we are not for it. So far as I am concerned, it was a slip. I now notice the omission and bring it to the notice of the Government. I want to know whether it was a deliberate giving up of that policy of the holding of exhibitions or it is only an omission. If it is an omission, I have no complaint. If it is not an omission, and if it is a deliberate giving up, I wish to bring to the notice of the Government that it is a desirable institution and that it should be continued.

Mr. V. V. Anantapadmanabha Ayyar.—Might have been a change of policy on the part of the Government.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—The idea is not abandoned. Somehow or other it was not included.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—So far as I remember, there was no deliberate abandoning of that policy of the holding of exhibitions. I cannot exactly say the reason that led to it. Most probably in every taluk there has been an exhibition.

Dr. A. G. Menon.—Only three, Sir, Talappilli, Chittur and Mukundapuram.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—It may be better if small exhibitions were sometimes conducted in groups of Villages and groups of Panchayats instead of in the Central Taluk Headquarters. Anyway, I can assure the House that there is no deliberate abandoning of the policy. It can be taken up again.

The motion was withdrawn with leave of the House.

Question put : *That the demand minus Rs. 2 may be passed. The demand minus Rs. 2, was carried.*

3. II.—Agriculture and Panchayats—Rs. 2,792.

President.—The next is the demand of Rs. 2,792 under II —Agriculture and Panchayats.

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—I beg to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 2,792 under "Village Panchayats". This amount represents the cost of stationery articles (642—11—0) and that of registers and forms (2,148—11—0) supplied to the Panchayats.

Till the beginning of the current year, articles of stationery, forms and registers were supplied free. The Government ordered, in accordance with the Special Finance Committee's finding, that the cost should be recovered from the Panchayats.

Orders were received only in December last.

There is no provision for this allotment in the budget of the current year nor is there any scope for any transfer of savings from other sources.

I request that the Council may be pleased to sanction the additional allotment applied for.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly